

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,390		03/15/2004	Hajime Kashiwase	03886/0201044-US0	3157
7278	7590	11/18/2005		EXAMINER	
DARBY &		Y P.C.	AGUIRRECHEA, JAYDI A		
P. O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2834	
				DATE MAILED: 11/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/801,390	KASHIWASE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jaydi A. Aguirrechea	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Au This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath of the	• relection requirement.  r. r. repted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be the drawing(s).	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
12)[/ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
2)  Notice 3)  Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e				

Application/Control Number: 10/801,390

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-4 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6915780B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are both directed to a circuit comprising: a signal converting circuit for converting a charge signal from a sensor into a voltage signal (col. 14, lines 26-27), an automatic correction circuit (claim 2, col. 14, lines 40-42) including an amplifier circuit for detecting a leakage of the charges generated in a signal transmission system by an output level of the signal converting circuit and

Art Unit: 2834

including a switch (claim 1, col. 14, lines 33-35) which is actuated by an output of the amplifier circuit; the switch being conducted by an output of the amplifier circuit when the leakage of the charges is detected by the amplifier circuit to discharge an input charge of the signal converting circuit- thereby automatically correcting an output level of said signal converting circuit so that the output levels are the same at the start timing and the end timing for generating the charges of said sensor (claims 1 and 2, col. 14, lines 29-31 and 43-45).

The combination of claims 1 and 2 of Patent'780 are equivalent to claims 1 and 3 of the instant application as explained above.

With regards to claims 2 and 4, Hattori discloses the suitability of a control system for discharging an input charge using an external signal. (Column 4, lines 21-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made to use a control system since Hattori teaches the suitability of a control system for discharging an input charge using an external signal in a charge amplifier using a piezoelectric sensor used in an internal combustion engine.

#### Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2834

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaydi A. Aguirrechea whose telephone number is 571-272-2018. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAA 11/8/05

DARDEN SCHUDERG SUPERNIZORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000